



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/487,529	01/19/2000	David A. Wood	5181-38400	3267
75	90 04/06/2004		EXAM	INER
B. NOEL KIVLIN			HOANG, PHUONG N	
MEYERTONS,	HOOD, KIVLIN, KOW	ERT & GOETZEL, P.C.		
P.O. BOX 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX	78767-0398	2126	71	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004	4 ''

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

→	ئے	PRO	2		
	Application N	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/487,529	WOOD ET AL.			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phuong N. Hoang	2126			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this 1) a timely filed amendme	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in	ed		
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or	o)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date later than SIX MONTHS from t S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTI e date on which the petition und of extension and the correspond the shortened statutory period	he mailing date of the final rejection. HIS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ex ding amount of the fee. The appropriate ex for reply originally set in the final Office ac	EP etension extension tion; or		
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	CFR 1.704(b).		n if		
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>12 March 2004</u>. Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal t	y materially reducing or simplifying	g the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE: .	ling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.			
	tion(a):				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would		Lip a congrate timely filed amonds	mant		
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		•			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has bee <u>ee Continuation Sheet</u> .	n considered but does NOT place	the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SC	LELY to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: none.					
Claim(s) objected to: none.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 20</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapprov	ed by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper I	lo(s)			
10. Other:		Maybry			
	S	MENG-AL T. AN JPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER DECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLATED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.